·	
1	
2	
3	
4	RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD
5	
6	FORT McCLELLAN, ALABAMA
7	
8	
9	
10	Taken before PENNY L. ENOCH, a Certified
11	Court Reporter and Commissioner for Alabama at Large,
12	at Fort McClellan, Alabama, at the Transition Force
13	Building, on Monday, October the 15th, 2012,
14	commencing at approximately 5:00 p.m.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	
2	REPORTER'S INDEX
3	
4	CAPTION1
5	REPORTER'S INDEX2
6	RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD3-53
7	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE54-55
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>
2	
3	MR. KIMBROUGH: Let me have roll
4	call. Scott Bolton?
5	MR. BOLTON: I'm here.
6	MR. KIMBROUGH: I'm here. James
7	Buford?
8	(No response.)
9	MR. KIMBROUGH: Jerome Elser?
10	(No response.)
11	MR. KIMBROUGH: Dr. Harrington?
12	DR. HARRINGTON: She's here.
13	MR. KIMBROUGH: Gene Howard?
14	(No response.)
15	MR. KIMBROUGH: Dr. Michael
16	Kimberly?
17	DR. KIMBERLY: He's here.
18	MR. KIMBROUGH: Jim Miller?
19	(No response.)
20	MR. KIMBROUGH: Tony Thompson?
21	(No response.)
22	MR. KIMBROUGH: Karen Pinson?
23	(No response.)

1	MR. KIMBROUGH: I'm putting
2	excused by all these people.
3	MR. BOLTON: We got it.
4	MR. KIMBROUGH: Put an "A" by the
5	last one. Okay. Do we have any guests?
6	MR. JAMES HALL: Did you call
7	James Hall?
8	MR. BOLTON: No, he didn't call
9	you but he knew you were here.
10	MR. KIMBROUGH: I checked you off,
11	I knew you were here. I didn't call you.
12	I'm sorry, Mr. Hall, I had a check by you. I
13	already checked all of them.
14	DR. KIMBERLY: You notice he
15	didn't say here for himself.
16	MR. KIMBROUGH: Do we have any
17	guests?
18	MR. BOLTON: We do. We've got a
19	couple. So, Roger, you want to do the
20	introduction?
21	MR. ROGER HALL: I was either now
22	or later when the time came to be. This may
23	be our last agency report for the MDA here

tonight. Hopefully, I'll attend some more meetings. But I have searched far and wide for my replacement over at Matrix
Environmental Services, and we found that poor soul down in Montgomery down here on the end of the chairs down there. His name's Gerald Hardy; comes to us highly recommended, 38 years of service with the State of Alabama, almost all of those years with the Department of Environmental Management. So he comes with some knowledge of what we're about out here. Quite a bit of knowledge, as a matter of fact.

Mike James, the chairman of the PM

Committee told me that he hoped that at least
I was kind enough to them to get somebody
that was smarter than I am to replace me and
I told him I had done just that. But Gerald
has been on board for what, now, Gerald,
three or four weeks?

MR. HARDY: Since September the 10th.

MR. ROGER HALL: Happy to have him

1	and I think the program will benefit from him
2	being here. We're certainly glad to have him
3	with our team at Matrix. He brings a side of
4	the program, the regulatory side, to the
5	table that we don't match, to be perfectly
6	honest with you. He's a RCRA specialist.
7	And, Gerald, we're certainly glad to
8	have you and thanks for listening to me all
9	those months. That's all I have, Mr.
10	Chairman.
11	MR. KIMBROUGH: Welcome.
12	MR. HARDY: Thank you.
13	MR. KIMBROUGH: I've already met
14	you two or three times. Welcome to the to
15	the RAB. Any other guests?
16	Approval of Minutes, we don't have
17	MR. BOLTON: No, we don't have a
18	quorum.
19	MR. KIMBROUGH: a quorum so we
20	can't approve the Minutes.
21	MR. BOLTON: Can't do any
22	business, really.
23	MR. KIMBROUGH: Old business, we

1	can't amend the bylaws. Program, we have
2	none. This is going to be fast, looks like.
3	New business. Okay. We've had the
4	resignation of Buddy Nelson, so have we got
5	any applications on file?
6	MS. CUNNINGHAM: No, sir, we do
7	not. You do not have any on file.
8	MR. KIMBROUGH: Do we advertise
9	or
10	MS. CUNNINGHAM: It's kind of been
11	word-of-mouth. I had canvassed all the
12	people, all the businesses that were on post,
13	all the companies, and that's how we got some
14	interest but we've already used those
15	applications.
16	So if you all know anyone or I'll
17	be glad to send it to you know, send an
18	application somewhere.
19	MR. KIMBROUGH: We've got what
20	do the bylaws say? Do we do we have to
21	have a is that what we're amending, that
22	we change the number?
23	MR. BOLTON: We did.

1	MS. CUNNINGHAM: Right. You did
2	change it to 14, but now you have 13 members
3	and one vacancy.
4	MR. KIMBROUGH: So we've just got
5	one vacancy with that resignation?
6	MS. CUNNINGHAM: Yes, sir. Yes,
7	sir.
8	MR. BOLTON: Right. That was
9	one there's a whole
10	MS. CUNNINGHAM: You can either
11	change the bylaws again before you well,
12	we can't do it, though.
13	MS. HOLSTEIN: not anyone
14	MS. CUNNINGHAM: No. Or we could
15	find you know, try to ask around and find
16	someone, that just happened the other day.
17	MR. KIMBROUGH: So, if y'all know
18	of anybody that might be interested have
19	you talked to you said you talked to
20	visitors, have you talked to Mr. Foster
21	have you talked to Bobby Foster?
22	MS. CUNNINGHAM: No.
23	MR. KIMBROUGH: At McClellan

1	Medical Center?
2	MS. CUNNINGHAM: I can.
3	DR. KIMBERLY: I can.
4	MR. BOLTON: Remember we're doing
5	it semiannual now instead of sometimes
6	DR. KIMBERLY: Semiannual, yeah.
7	He might be interested or at least try to
8	get involved a little more around town.
9	MR. BOLTON: Might minimize some
10	schedule conflicts.
11	DR. KIMBERLY: He got on the
12	nursing board with me at Jacksonville.
13	MR. KIMBROUGH: Okay. But if
14	any anybody else has anybody that they
15	might we have an application, You can get
16	the application and get their name.
17	DR. KIMBERLY: Let me talk to
18	I'll talk to Duke Cannell.
19	MS. CUNNINGHAM: The application
20	is on the website. I can give it to you
21	later or whatever you want.
22	DR. KIMBERLY: Let me talk to them
23	first.

MS. CUNNINGHAM: Okay. 1 DR. KIMBERLY: I'll give you the 2 names and addresses, and you can shoot it to 3 them? 4 MS. CUNNINGHAM: Okay. Sure will. 5 MR. KIMBROUGH: Agency reports, 6 ADEM? 7 MS. BRANDI LITTLE: I'm up first? We normally have about three sheets, this 9 time we have about seven. Should be -- I'm 10 assuming it's in the packet -- yes. 11 I think the major accomplishments this 12 time were the four covenants that we got for 13 MDA, which included, I quess, two landfills 14 and some other -- I'm trying to think of what 15 the others were. One of them was -- gosh, 16 I've gone blank -- the Cobra sites. 17 MR. ROGER HALL: One --18 19 MS. BRANDI LITTLE: And the three new ones haven't been signed yet. We've got 20 four out of the five original ones that were 21 submitted signed. And I think MDA is working 22 on the number four one; and the other one is 23

1	the filing of the Remedial Investigation for
2	Baby Bains Gap Ranges for the Army.
3	MR. KIMBROUGH: That's been signed
4	off on?
5	MS. BRANDI LITTLE: Yes. We
6	concurred on it.
7	MR. KIMBROUGH: That's turned over
8	to y'all now?
9	MR. ROGER HALL: Yes, that is
10	right. There's we got so we can get
11	moving.
12	MR. KIMBROUGH: The military or
13	the DOD did did their work and now it's
14	being transferred to the transferred over
15	to to the Matrix or the MDA.
16	MR. ROGER HALL: Right.
17	MR. KIMBROUGH: And then they're
18	going to they're going to do the cleanup.
19	MR. ROGER HALL: The remedial
20	MR. BOLTON: Well, they're going
21	to yeah. They'll do they'll do a
22	feasibility study and the cleanup. Yeah.
23	Yes. So.

1	MR. KIMBROUGH: Okay.
2	MR. BOLTON: Yeah. We did the
3	characterization.
4	MS. BRANDI LITTLE: That's all I
5	have.
6	MR. KIMBROUGH: What is the
7	characterization, what did it call for?
8	MR. ROGER HALL: It called for
9	lead cleanup and a couple hundred thousand
10	pounds of or yards of soil.
11	MR. KIMBROUGH: Have you ever
12	has ADEM ever decided about the lead cleanup?
13	I mean, my last meeting maybe it's been
14	cleared up, but the last meeting I went to,
15	there were some things about what we could
16	how we would clean up, and the water, and
17	there were some questions there.
18	MR. ROGER HALL: That's
19	essentially what was finalized from that RI
20	which was done that they've just approved.
21	MR. BOLTON: Nature and extent was
22	there. The driver, the same with those, like
23	most everything here, is pretty much for the

fill lead is the -- the eco-driver risk really is the biggest driver, the ecological risk drivers for the lead. It's kind of common here that, you know, it's going to be lead, copper, zinc, antimony, those kind of bullet-type, you know, components.

MR. KIMBROUGH: But y'all characterized it now to plan --

MR. BOLTON: Right. And they'll decide what's the most feasible way of going at it. Here's what the options are, and then here's what we consider to be the most feasible and so on, and they'll do it. That's why we made the dividing line.

If you all recall, there was -- there was some confusion initially about -- when the ESCA was originally negotiated as to who was doing what, because there were some things that we were to complete characterization and hand off on, and this was one -- the Baby Bains Gap Road Ranges were -- there was a glitch in the way they kept the records on the ESCA, and each one

thought the other -- and said, one of y'all 1 needs to do the characterization. Oops, yep, 2 needs to be us. 3 So, that's kind of -- yeah, we 4 completed the characterization. But the 5 feasibility study is going to be -- which 6 will tell you kind of what they -- what 7 they're going to do; and then the actual remediation will be done by MDA/Matrix. 9 MR. KIMBROUGH: How many acres 10 would that clear up? 11 12 MR. ROGER HALL: Oh, gosh, I guess I'm -- is it -- what does that cover in 13 acreage? I think about it in terms of tons 14 and -- or cubic yards more so than acres, 15 because it's spread out over a couple of 16 ranges. If I had to take a wild guess, I'd 17 tell you it's probably going to encompass 18 some 30 to -- 30 or so acres in the MRS-4. 19 MR. KIMBROUGH: Okay. 20 MR. BOLTON: A lot of times your 21 actual contamination will be a little more 22 concentrated. 23

1	MR. ROGER HALL: It's mostly
2	concentrated.
3	MR. KIMBROUGH: I contributed to
4	some of the contamination.
5	MR. ROGER HALL: You throw a
6	little bit out there? Did you hit your
7	target? Is there a question
8	MR. KIMBROUGH: Well, there was a
9	deer that ran across
10	DR. KIMBERLY: cleared this
11	building in the parking lot.
12	MR. KIMBROUGH: There was. That's
13	the truth. There was a deer that ran across,
14	all of a sudden all the concentration went
15	nobody hit bad thing, nobody hit it.
16	DR. KIMBERLY: Missed it to
17	MR. KIMBROUGH: Firing line was
18	full and nobody hit it.
19	MR. BOLTON: The volumes are we
20	all tend to concentrate on volumes, because
21	that's really what that's what drives the
22	costs, plain and simple, you know.
23	MR. HARDY: The plan would be to

stabilize it to render it non-hazardous so it can be brought to a municipal solid waste landfill.

MR. KIMBROUGH: I understood at one time there was some discussion about what you would have to do -- they looked into kind of sifting through -- sifting, getting the -- getting the lead out.

MR. ROGER HALL: Well, there was some discussion there earlier on about trying to do a reclaim of the project, out the reclamation project. We actually went as far as to engage the services of a company, local company, to see if they could show us that they knew how to take reusable lead out of the dirt; and at the end of the day, they can take some of the lead out, but the cost wasn't reduced any. We still had to go through the same shenanigans to clean up the small part per million soil of lead particles.

MR. HARDY: Same regulatory requirements.

MR. ROGER HALL: Right. So we --1 we sort of put that to bed, because there 2 just didn't seem to be any savings in doing 3 it that way. 4 MR. KIMBROUGH: Okay. Any 5 questions on the report? You got anything 6 else? 7 No, sir. MS. BRANDI LITTLE: 8 MR. KIMBROUGH: Anybody got any questions? 10 (No response.) 11 12 MR. KIMBROUGH: Okay. Then next we have National Guard. 13 MS. PINSON: Thank you. 14 Ranges J and K, those are where we inject 15 some lactose into the groundwater, because we 16 have contamination in groundwater at those --17 in those areas of Pelham Range. And the 18 post-lactose sampling has showed that 19 everything seems to be working. 20 We've had to add a little more lactose 21 carbon source to some of the wells at Range 22 K, but the results so far have been 23

\_

promising. The bacteria are breaking down the chlorinated organic compounds and so we're continuing to proceed with that -- in that direction.

And on our former -- all these areas are out on Pelham Range, by the way. But the former toxic gas area and decon training area -- and all these areas had -- were where chemical agent training was conducted by the Army -- we are working on -- still working on the Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study for the toxic gas area. And we -- we're about solved in all of our issues and comments with ADEM, and we will resubmit a revised Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study for those sites.

We do have some groundwater contamination there and some soil contamination. But it's all, you know, within -- well within the boundaries of Pelham Range.

MR. KIMBROUGH: Anybody have any questions on the -- from National Guard?

1	Where did y'all get your funding for that?
2	Is it separate, total separate?
3	MR. BOLTON: Yes.
4	MS. PINSON: Environmental
5	restoration
6	MR. BOLTON: Right. Their funding
7	comes from ultimately, it all comes from
8	the same pot of money, obviously.
9	MR. KIMBROUGH: Right. Okay.
10	MR. BOLTON: But there's what's
11	called ERA dollars, Environmental Restoration
12	Account dollars; and there's BRAC account,
13	which is we get ours from BRAC and BRAC
14	dollars actually fund the ESCA that MDA and
15	Matrix do.
16	The flip side of the coin is the
17	what we call IRP, Installation Restoration
18	Program, whereas ERA dollars comes out of
19	Army Environmental Command. You get you
20	get yours
21	MS. PINSON: through there.
22	Yeah.
23	MR. BOLTON: from there. Yeah.

\_

So, active installations and other -- and some other types of installations get funded -- the environmental work gets funded through that other pathway, that comes -- basically, comes through Army Environmental Command.

Some of that is changing now because

Army Environmental Command now belongs to
another organization, the IMCOM, Installation

Management Command. So that's, you know,
shifting some things.

My operation in Tennessee, because it was not a BRAC site, is funded through IRP, the same as the National Guard is. It's not funded by BRAC dollars. But eventually, it all ends up in Environmental Restoration Account dollars.

DR. KIMBERLY: When is the revised report — the RI and the FS going to go to ADEM? The revised report, the RI and FS, when is the final report going to ADEM?

It says here they reported in June of 2011, but the Army will submit a revised following the finalization of the documents.

What's the expectation of finalization? 1 MS. PINSON: Oh, right. We're --2 we are still reviewing the revised report, 3 but we will hope to have it out within the 4 next month or two, we hope. Yeah. 5 MR. KIMBROUGH: Any other 6 questions? Okay. Then MDA? 7 MR. ROGER HALL: I too, like Brandi, usually our report is about a page 9 long and I apologize for three -- or two and 10 a half pages this time. But, I'll try to go 11 through them fairly quickly and summarize 12 them. 13 The first three, which is Landfill 3, 14 Fill Area, Small Weapons and T-6; one's a 15 landfill, the other two are groundwater or 16 soil contaminant sites. Essentially, what we 17 did for the last quarter was to inspect and 18 provide a lot of paperwork. When you see 19 CMERs and other acronyms such as that, that's 20 a lot of paperwork that goes back and forth 21 between us and ADEM. 22 The T-5 is a pretty large groundwater 23

contaminant site just near our office, actually, in the Auburn area. We are in the midst of an RFI. We installed some new groundwater wells to help us define nature and extent of the -- of the plume there. So that's underway.

Both -- T-38, very little was -- was done in the field, actually. There was some environmental covenant put together.

Chem Laundry, Washrack, on down through Impact Area North Central Main Post, a lot of paperwork describing the effectiveness of what has been -- of the remedy that's in place.

At Impact Area North Central Main Post and Range 31, we have some -- we collected a large cache of bullets and we're in the process of trying to dispose of them, some of which -- some of which are being treated as a hazardous waste. So they will be properly boxed and sent off-site.

Motor Pool 3100 is more -- pretty much a finished site.

When you get down to the environmental 1 covenant part of the project, you're getting 2 down into what we always call the short rows 3 in the cotton field. Just about to the end 4 of the day. 5 Post Garbage Dump, same thing, it's 6 paperwork. The cap repair was put on north 7 -- fill area north of Landfill 2. Landfill 4, we keep -- we have 9 groundwater monitoring and surface water 10 monitoring events. That's part of an active 11 12 landfill that we have, or the active landfill is part of Landfill 4. 13 A draft RFI was submitted in August 14 for the Range 30 Impact Area, and we've been 15 doing inspections on Iron Mountain Road 16 Ranges. And y'all heard a little earlier 17 Baby Bains Gap Road Ranges are now kind of --18 the path is clear for us to go in and clear. 19 MR. KIMBROUGH: Roger, she asked a 20 question --21 DR. HARRINGTON: Exactly where is 22 Baby Bains? 23

1	MR. HALL: Baby Bains if you
2	know where Bains Gap is, it's just south
3	it's a little road south, it loops around and
4	connects Bains Gap into the new Iron Mountain
5	road, two lane.
6	DR. HARRINGTON: I got you.
7	MR. BOLTON: Do you know where the
8	refuge sign is? If you're heading east, do
9	you know where the refuge sign is?
10	DR. HARRINGTON: Yes.
11	MR. BOLTON: Imagine if you turned
12	right.
13	DR. HARRINGTON: Yes.
14	MR. BOLTON: You go up the hill
15	and then kind of cut back.
16	DR. HARRINGTON: Right.
17	MR. BOLTON: That was actually,
18	the old original Bains Gap Road went up on
19	that curve, and then they cut that one
20	straight segment through and later on it all
21	got renamed.
22	DR. HARRINGTON: Okay. That's
23	what I was wondering.

MR. BOLTON: Yeah. 1 DR. HARRINGTON: I know where 2 you're talking about. 3 MR. BOLTON: Yeah. Yeah, if you 4 were to hook a right at that refuge sign, 5 aside from running into our gate, you would 6 be -- that's the road. 7 That's Baby DR. HARRINGTON: Bains. 9 MR. BOLTON: 10 Yes. MR. KIMBROUGH: If y'all have any 11 questions on -- I know Roger and them work 12 with this all the time, but when they start 13 talking about some of these things -- even 14 though I've been in this ever since it began, 15 I still don't know MRS-2 and MRS-3, you know. 16 So if y'all have questions, please ask. 17 MR. ROGER HALL: Yeah. If you 18 want to know locations, I can bring a map at 19 some point in time to kind of show you where 20 they are. And they may be marked in here, 21 but --22 No. We don't have MR. BOLTON: 23

them on here.

MR. ROGER HALL: They're designations that we made when we started the remediation out there.

MR. KIMBROUGH: Go ahead. I'm sorry. Go ahead.

MR. ROGER HALL: That's all right.

I'm kind of down now to the -- to mostly from
the -- where I've been, been talking about
soil and groundwater contamination.

Now, the next few projects are all MEC related, or Munitions and Explosives of Concern, or UXO, or whatever the current phrase is that describes it. But MRS-2, 3 and 6, field work's been completed for a while. We are working on the paper, After Action Report for that, MRS-8 and Northern Alpha UXO area; and this last quarter we completed the field work in another MRS, MRS-4. And we've done part of the work in MRS-9, but MRS-9 is the most contaminated -- it's the most contaminated range on the entire part of the project that we're working

1	on. It's Range 16 and it's so heavy that
2	we'll probably use machinery to clean it up;
3	sift it, if you will, kind of filter it.
4	MR. KIMBROUGH: Roger, let me
5	interrupt for a minute
6	MR. ROGER HALL: Okay.
7	MR. KIMBROUGH: For our
8	benefit. On these After Action Reports, is
9	this the final step?
10	MR. ROGER HALL: Well, sort of.
11	MR. KIMBROUGH: Okay.
12	MR. ROGER HALL: Sort of the final
13	step, yes. Once the After Action Report is
14	completed and ADEM agrees and we get a
15	we'll request a No Further Action; and if
16	they agree with that, then the only real
17	thing that remains is a CERCLA warranty to be
18	obtained from the Army.
19	MR. KIMBROUGH: And then that'll
20	free the land?
21	MR. ROGER HALL: Bolton says he'll
22	take care of that for us.
23	MR. KIMBROUGH: Right. And that's

1	a that's a pretty large amount of
2	property.
3	MR. ROGER HALL: It is a large
4	MR. KIMBROUGH: a thousand
5	acres or
6	MR. ROGER HALL: Well, I think, if
7	I remember Richard's calculation, it's at
8	least about 1900 acres, I believe.
9	MR. KIMBROUGH: So, reasonably
10	probably the largest piece of property at
11	that I mean, we've gotten some that have
12	been cleared, but this will probably be about
13	the first largest amount?
14	MR. ROGER HALL: Well, you know,
15	it's a combination of a number of these.
16	MR. KIMBROUGH: Right.
17	MR. ROGER HALL: You've got MRS-2,
18	3, 6 and
19	MR. KIMBROUGH: Right.
20	MR. ROGER HALL: part of 8 and
21	Northern Alpha. So, yes, it'll be a large
22	parcel of land all together.
23	MR. KIMBROUGH: All of that's kind

of located above the parade field -- where 1 they got soccer fields and all that area back 2 up in there, isn't it? 3 MR. BOLTON: All kind of wraps 4 around, around what used to be called the 5 Bravo Area. A good bit of it. If I remember 6 right, Bravo was somewhere around 3300 acres 7 in total, about 1900 of which had contamination. MR. KIMBROUGH: It goes from like 10 the new -- where the new road is back toward 11 12 Iron Mountain Road. MR. BOLTON: You got it. 13 MR. KIMBROUGH: Yes. 14 DR. KIMBERLY: Pretty area when 15 you drive through. 16 MR. ROGER HALL: And the same can 17 be said for, you know, MRS-12 and 13, those 18 are kind of the remnants of the -- of the 19 Alpha Area. 20 MRS-11, the very last one we 21 mentioned, it may have been something you had 22 in mind. That's a very large tract of land 23

that we are trying to get a final -- a supplemental characterization completed and into ADEM so that we can hopefully put that one to bed rather soon. That's the one Mike James is particularly interested in. And -- and perhaps in doing so, we might -- we might find some savings to the ESCA, if that is allowed -- if we can do it that way, the way we presented it to the department.

DR. HARRINGTON: James, the builder?

MR. KIMBROUGH: He's over the -- I mean, he's over the MDA, program management. So what they're trying to do, this is marketable property and they're trying to put a priority on -- because of the funding, the way the funding has come -- and that's another thing, Roger, what about the funding? I noticed since I didn't make the meeting --

MR. ROGER HALL: Well, we have a pretty good budget, actually, for this year. Partly due to the fact that last year we spent a lot of the time with what Mike James

1	called process or you noticed I talk a lot
2	of about paperwork here we we've
3	exchanged with these guys a lot of paper last
4	year.
5	DR. KIMBERLY: Killed a lot of
6	trees.
7	MR. ROGER HALL: Killed a lot of
8	trees.
9	MS. BRANDI LITTLE: Yes. We're
10	good at that.
11	MR. ROGER HALL: But
12	unfortunately, I mean or fortunately,
13	that's the way that cookie crumbles. I mean,
14	it's just what you have to do. We had some
15	carryover money that we're taking into fiscal
16	year '13. We got a little end-of-year sweep
17	money this year.
18	MR. KIMBROUGH: That's that's
19	monies that's not been used by other
20	MR. ROGER HALL: By other bases
21	and other places. And they were kind enough
22	to give us some money to to be used here
23	at McClellan. And then I heard from a little

bird earlier today that we're going to have a 1 little bit of money for FY 13, but about the 2 same order as the sweep money that came in 3 for FY 13. So that'll be a little additional 4 chunk of change that will come, who knows --5 sometimes it's as late as March when we get 6 that money. But, it's -- nonetheless, it'll 7 go into this --MR. BOLTON: They were told 9 January or February is when we were going to 10 push the button, because we told them the 11 world comes to a screeching halt if they 12 don't. So we got to give them about six days 13 lead time just in case. 14 MR. KIMBROUGH: So, we're still 15 looking at -- at what date of completion, 16 Roger? 17 MR. ROGER HALL: Oh, my goodness. 18 Just for a moment --19 MR. KIMBROUGH: That's one of my 20 favorite questions. 21 MR. ROGER HALL: Just for a moment 22 -- yeah, we hear that one a lot. Just for a 23

2

3

5

6

/

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

moment, forget things like very long-term monitoring for the groundwater, which would suggest that -- I don't know the answer to that, I'll tell you right off.

MR. KIMBROUGH: Right.

MR. ROGER HALL: But, ballpark estimate, we could probably finish -- if all the money was in the bank, we could probably finish the unexploded ordnance portion in about two years if we just went full scale to work on that. Some of the -- some of the other sites are finishing RFIs, and getting remedies in place and operating groundwater cleanup programs for like Landfill 3 groundwater, you know; add three to five years for the operation of those things, and then some period into the future of monitoring to be sure they don't rebound. don't know. Put an early date of five years from now and a late date or way beyond that.

DR. KIMBERLY: When he asked you that question, you should know to say: When we finish.

23

The reason I asked the question is because a lot of people don't have conception of how long it's going to take to do this. So that's -- that was -that's the point, is that, you know, everybody wants to know: Well, why haven't they done this? Why isn't this property This is what I hear from citizens out there, you know. We got all that property and they're not doing anything with it. What about that? Until you get into -- like we are, you have this opportunity -- you don't understand the hoops you have to jump through, first, before you ever get to the And then after the cleanup, it's -after you do the physical work, then you've still got documentation and stuff that has to DR. KIMBERLY: Monitoring to do on

MR. ROGER HALL: Yeah. And it's

a -- you know, if you've ever tried to figure out how to clean up a groundwater plume in a broken-up rock environment like we have out here at McClellan, I mean, it's -- this is -- this is all out of sight and it's tough.

It's tough to do. Takes quite awhile. It took it a long time to get into them little crooks and crannies and nooks and places where it is, and it takes a long time to get it out of there.

MR. KIMBROUGH: Okay. I've asked all the questions. Any questions? If there are no questions, then the Army.

MR. BOLTON: That's us. Yep. We've got a couple of things that are -- that are going on at this point. We're continuing on as we always do, on our interim removal actions.

If y'all recall, the Army and the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service have an agreement that says while we continue to study and do all these other kind of things and get final delineation and so forth, we will still

/

continue to chip away at it. So, we have a contractor on-site, UXB-KEMRON. The work plan is in at ADEM. We anticipate mobilizing and hitting the field on some more interim removal of 88 acres, which is an MEC cleanup, munitions cleanup. We figured on them hitting the field probably next November; and so, who knows, depending on weather and some other factors, when they might be done with that particular — probably March, April time frame, in that ballpark with that.

And depending on when we get additional -- our FY 13 allotment of money, we will probably just add that to the ongoing MEC cleanup, and so we'll pick up some more acres there.

One of the more significant things that we're doing right now, you can see that on our first page there we talk about the RI/FS, Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study. You guys are lucky, you get to speak two languages. You get to speak RCRA and CERCLA. If you remember, the Army, we do our

Ø

cleanup under CERCIA; whereas, MDA/Matrix do theirs under RCRA, under state oversights. So, that's why you see a shift in these dern acronyms. I apologize for that. If you have any questions, let us know. We'll kind of give you the translation or decoder ring.

But one of the things that we've done is we had -- many years ago they started an EE/CA, an Engineering Evaluation and Cost Analysis is what that stands for -- to characterize the munitions response and the Army remaining area which, as you know, is Fish & Wildlife Refuge, and the total area is what, 23, 2500 acres, something like that, what we refer to as the Charlie Area. And then there's elements out in Choccolocco Corridor.

As time has progressed with this additional sampling and everything else, we've decided that the best way to finally delineate the area is to convert that ESCA and do — go into a little more detailed Remedial Investigation with a feasibility

/

study to follow. Feasibility study will be, quite frankly, kind of a no brainer on a munitions response. Fairly limited, you know, you go out and dig it up.

And so we've awarded a contract for that. Fact is, we have a kick-off meeting in the morning with our -- with our new contractor. They just got the contract in August, I guess is when we had the -- the source selection panel contract award was in September, just before the end of the fiscal year; and they're literally showing up in the morning.

It's an outfit called AECOM that got the contract, and they're going to do a Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study for the remainder of the Charlie Area to more tightly delineate it.

One of the things that's happened is the MMRP program, Military Munitions Response Program, has kind of evolved over time and there have been changes in terminology and so on, that affects the way you collect your

data, you know. So, sometimes you look back 1 at some of the other effort -- older efforts 2 and you go, hum, well, is this -- is this 3 munitions debris with an explosive hazard or 4 is this just, you know, ordnance and 5 explosive scrap? 6 So, we feel like to further tighten --7 at least this is what I told my boss -- to further tighten up the delineation and hopefully pay for itself and save us some 10 money in the long run, we decided to go with 11 the Remedial Investigation, and they agreed 12 with us. So that's -- I think it's the 13 better way to go. 14 So, that's where we're heading. 15 like I say, we're meeting with them and it'll 16 probably be about a two-year effort. And 17 that's only a quess. 18 Where from? 19 DR. KIMBERLY: MR. BOLTON: Sir? 20 DR. KIMBERLY: Where from? 21 MR. BOLTON: Where does AECOM come 22 from? 23

1	DR. KIMBERLY: Yeah.
2	MR. BOLTON: I do not recall, to
3	be honest with you.
4	MR. ROGER HALL: We're one of the
5	MDAs.
6	MR. BOLTON: They're one of our
7	MDA contract guys. I don't know where
8	their where their mother ship is, you
9	know. A lot of these companies have kind of
10	regional offices and local area offices, and
11	I just I'm familiar with some of their
12	personnel I've met before, but I really don't
13	know where their headquarters is or where
14	their what local office is coming out of.
15	I want to say is it Atlanta? Is
16	Greg in Atlanta?
17	MR. ROGER HALL: I don't know.
18	DR. KIMBERLY: We worked with them
19	some in Tennessee.
20	MR. BOLTON: Well, they do
21	they've done work in Tennessee. I mean,
22	these folks do work yeah, it's a
23	relatively small world, and on these

22

23

environmental companies, the ones that are really capable of doing a lot of -- yeah. And so -- anyway, that's the -- like I say, we're having our kick-off meeting with them in the morning, and be the first time we meet some of the players. We're already familiar with the project manager and some of the other project management team. They had a real good -- very strong proposal, so we're kind of -- they were part of the large business pool, so they -- they've got resources. They have a technical bench, these kinds of things. Every once in awhile we run into that with some of the smaller businesses, they can execute a cleanup pretty well, but when you're in the study phase of things, sometimes they can get over their head pretty quickly if something gets real nuanced. So we decided to go with a large business pool on this, and so that's who we got all the proposals and bids from; and AECOM is the company that won it.

So that's our -- that'll be probably

J

one of the more significant things. Like I say, that'll be ongoing for a couple of -- couple of years.

We've also got the same company that is doing -- that's moving up to do the interim removal action as soon as their plan is approved, is also going to do the next five-year review under CERCLA. If you recall, there are -- when you have remedies in place, there are -- there's a requirement to do a five-year -- every five years to do a review to make sure that the remedies are still functioning properly and so forth. And so we're on our second iteration of that. So the same company, UXB-KEMRON, is the company that will be doing 5-year review on that.

RCRA is a little different. They can do periodic reviews, but they don't have a specific five-year review as a requirement. That's kind of our big stuff.

Property transfers, the never-ending story. The 22.94 acres for the Water Tank Sites, I believe that's -- there was some

glitches in Army Corps of Engineers' channels. Those have been resolved. The e-mail traffic I saw recently, I think those are pretty much a done deal. It's a -- it's a function of signing the forms and so forth now. So that should happen pretty soon.

The Iron Mountain Road Addition, which everybody drives over a lot, is still -we're still working that. They have some -there's some concern that -- I don't know if
U.S. Code, if something has changed in the
interim or not. So there's a question as to
how we go about transferring the property to
ALDOT. It's a non-issue.

But when we did the ROE for ALDOT to build the road, they were given permission to build, operate and maintain the road. And so it won't affect the operation of the road. And so — but again, we anticipate — I think that — it's a fairly high level and it's got a lot of visibility, and I suspect it'll be resolved in relatively short order. At which point, that'll leave us with a grand total of

about 12 acres. All of that acreage, that 1 remaining 12 Army acres is sort of held 2 hostage by some of MDA work. Part of it is 3 Highway 21 out here; and as soon as they get 4 remedy in place on Landfill 3, then we can 5 cost and transfer that property. And similar 6 situation, not a groundwater one, adjacent to 7 Range 29 there's a little .95 acre Water 8 Tank -- future Water Tank Site. And it'll be handled like it, hopefully, more efficiently 10 than the 23 acres that we have currently in 11 the mix. So, that's what we're doing. 12 MR. KIMBROUGH: Anybody got any 13 questions? No questions? 14 (No response.) 15 MR. KIMBROUGH: Okay. Anybody 16 have any ideas for the next program? You 17 know what you said awhile ago, said you won't 18 19 be there, but I wonder if you could bring a map and kind of show where we can kind of 20 look at it and see where the properties are. 21 MR. HARDY: Sure. 22 MR. KIMBROUGH: And hopefully, in 23

1	six months, may not be have some so that,
2	you know, we're at the point or maybe have
3	cleared so that we can everybody can kind
4	of understand what we're what we're
5	talking about.
6	MR. HARDY: We're working on that
7	right now to show all that.
8	MR. KIMBROUGH: Okay. Anybody
9	else? Any ideas of future programs?
10	MR. JAMES HALL: Let me ask you a
11	question: The last time I was leaving 21
12	going to I-20 on the new road, it's still
13	kind of dark over there at night. Is any
14	lights being put up in there? It's real dark
15	on I-20.
16	MR. BOLTON: You're talking about
17	on Iron Mountain Road, where the new
18	connection is?
19	MR. JAMES HALL: Yeah.
20	DR. KIMBERLY: The bypass?
21	MR. BOLTON: I'll tell you what,
22	I'm not even sure I don't think there's
23	any power out there even.

1	MR. KIMBROUGH: Yeah. I know
2	where he's talking about, and it is. And
3	with that stop sign there
4	MR. BOLTON: Right. Yeah, that's
5	been boondocks for a long time. I don't
6	think there's power out there. I don't even
7	know if there was ever power out to the lake
8	area even, which would be
9	MR. Roger HALL: Seeing that the
10	traffic has
11	MR. BOLTON: he's talking about
12	the stop sign going into the bypass.
13	MR. ROGER HALL: Followup question
14	or comment to that is: There was an attempt
15	the MDA made a grant application to the
16	DOT for the remaining paving and building
17	the remaining portion of Iron Mountain Road
18	from the flashing light all the way around to
19	21.
20	MR. KIMBROUGH: That's that's
21	the industrial access road.
22	MR. ROGER HALL: But that grant
23	apparently did not get awarded.

1	MR. KIMBROUGH: We got a grant one
2	time. Was that just for the surveying and
3	the laying out of it?
4	MR. ROGER HALL: Well, I don't
5	know if that grant seems as though that
6	grant is coming straight out of the MDA funds
7	that they've raised from selling property for
8	doing the engineering.
9	MR. KIMBROUGH: Well now, we got
10	industrial we got something from
11	Industrial Relations out of Montgomery for
12	about three it's been years and years ago.
13	DR. KIMBERLY: Three or four years
14	ago.
15	MR. KIMBROUGH: It's been a long
16	time ago.
17	MR. BOLTON: Probably longer than
18	that.
19	MR. ROGER HALL: Was that for the
20	was that for the first was that for the
21	first phase of it?
22	MR. KIMBROUGH: I thought it
23	was to I thought it was to complete it out

to 21.

DR. KIMBERLY: Complete out 21.

MR. KIMBROUGH: The right-of-way,
I thought -- maybe obtaining the surveying or
whatever of the road.

MR. ROGER HALL: I think it's been surveyed, and I'm kind of getting over my head, This is an issue for Robin to answer. But I know he had applied for about a \$5.2 million grant from ALDOT to actually go ahead and construct the road. And he had put in this year's budget for the MDA the finishing of the engineering plans for that road, but the grant did not get awarded.

MR. KIMBROUGH: The plans were where that Iron Mountain Road comes out and goes is to continue that all the way and come out about where Anniston Beach Road, right in that area, from — where the lake is. And so there'd be a circle all the way, and then develop that industrial where you could have — I think that — if I remember when we talked about it, that was where some of the

1	larger pieces of property were that we could
2	get a large maybe possibly of a larger
3	most of the stuff as far as industry-wise is
4	small industry. We don't have any big
5	MR. BOLTON: At least large plats
6	
7	MR. KIMBROUGH: Well, maybe I
8	know ALDOT, we've asked them here's
9	another question do we have a completion
10	date, since they've had to move everything
11	back on the bypass?
12	MR. BOLTON: We haven't I
13	don't
14	DR. HARRINGTON: summertime.
15	MR. BOLTON: I know they keep on
16	moving along, but I don't know what the date
17	is.
18	MR. KIMBROUGH: Yeah. They're
19	working pretty good. I can see
20	MR. BOLTON: Yeah. They're
21	actually moving a lot of dirt in there.
22	MR. KIMBROUGH: from my house I
23	can see them and hear them. But it doesn't

1	look like they've done anything to connect
2	them. Doesn't look like they've done
3	anything on the base or anything. Of course,
4	after they do all that, then they have to get
5	the concrete work and guttering in; and then
6	they have to do deal with that contract, then
7	they'll have to do a paving contract.
8	So, you're probably looking one
9	time I'd heard a year, you know. I'd heard
10	
11	MR. BOLTON: That's what they were
12	saying. But I don't know if that's been
13	pushed back or not. We just need Shannon
14	Jones or somebody
15	MR. JAMES HALL: When the deer
16	start coming out, you can't see them. Kind
17	of dangerous.
18	MR. BOLTON: Well, they'll fence
19	the big bypass.
20	MR. KIMBROUGH: You can see them
21	in my back yard and turkey.
22	Do we have any other audience
23	comments?

1	MS. PINSON: Would you just please
2	let the Minutes reflect that I'm here?
3	MR. BOLTON: Got you.
4	MS. CUNNINGHAM: Talking about an
5	update from Shannon Jones, he provided an
6	e-mail update. It was it was at the it
7	was like two meetings ago, and he said that
8	there's been a delay in the grade and drain
9	project, there's ongoing new contract issues.
10	Do you want me to e-mail him and ask
11	him if there's another update for the next
12	meeting, since you were talking about that?
13	MR. KIMBROUGH: If you could,
14	because that was they've already let the
15	contract now and started that work.
16	MS. CUNNINGHAM: Okay.
17	MR. KIMBROUGH: If you could, and
18	just that would be information.
19	MR. BOLTON: But given that we're
20	not going to meet again for six months, you
21	want to just hold off?
22	MS. CUNNINGHAM: Yeah, I'll wait
23	until

1	MR. BOLTON: There may be other
2	e-mails
3	MS. CUNNINGHAM: I'll wait
4	until right before.
5	DR. KIMBERLY: It won't be
6	finished.
7	MR. BOLTON: It'll be a different
8	world in April.
9	MS. CUNNINGHAM: Yeah.
10	MR. BOLTON: There may or may not
11	be another delay.
12	MR. KIMBROUGH: Might fire this
13	bunch.
14	DR. KIMBERLY: There'll be another
15	contract for another company.
16	MR. KIMBROUGH: Do we have any
17	other audience comments?
18	If not, and I guess since it's not an
19	official meeting we don't, so we stand
20	adjourned. Next meeting will be April the
21	15th.
22	MR. BOLTON: Tax day. Should be
23	able to remember that.

1	(Whereupon, the proceedings
2	concluded at 5:47 p.m.)
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	C <u>E R T I F I C A T E</u>
2	
3	STATE OF ALABAMA)
4	CALHOUN COUNTY )
5	
6	I, PENNY L. ENOCH, CCR, a Court Reporter
7	and Notary Public in and for The State of Alabama at
8	Large, duly commissioned and qualified, HEREBY CERTIFY
9	that the proceedings were by me reduced to shorthand
LO	in the presence of said attendees, afterwards
L1	transcribed upon a computer, and that the foregoing is
L2	a true and correct transcript of the proceedings given
L3	as aforesaid.
L 4	
L 5	I FURTHER CERTIFY this hearing was
L 6	taken at the time and place in the foregoing caption
L7	specified, and was concluded with adjournment.
L 8	
L 9	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a
20	relative, counsel, or attorney for any party, or
21	otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.
22	
) 3	

1	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
2	set my hand and affixed my seal at Birmingham,
3	Alabama, on this the 17th day of October, 2012.
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	PENNY L. ENOCH (ACCR 554)
10	Notary Public in and for
11	Alabama at Large
12	
13	
14	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: 4-29-2013
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	